Synthesis of 4-(Methoxycarbonyl)phenyl β -Lactoside Derivatives Modified at C(6) or C(6'), and Evaluation of Their Inhibitory Activity on Erythrina cristagalli Lectin-Mediated Hemagglutination

by Anna Paola Butera and Jose´ Dias de Souza Filho

Departamento de Química, Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais, Brazil

and Maria Auxiliadôra Fontes Prado, Marilda Pereira Lisboa, and Ricardo José Alves*

Faculdade de Farmácia, Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais, Av. Antônio Carlos, 6627, Belo Horizonte, MG, Brazil

 $(phone: +55-031-34996955; fax: +55-031-34996935; e-mail: ricardodylan@farmacia.ufmg.br)$

We report herein the synthesis of 4-(methoxycarbonyl)phenyl β -lactoside and eight derivatives modified at C(6) or C(6'). These compounds were evaluated in hemagglutination inhibition assay using the lectin from Erythrina cristagalli. None of the compounds showed enhanced activity as compared to lactose.

Introduction. – Lectins are carbohydrate-binding proteins other than immunoglobulins that display no enzymatic activity towards the recognized sugars. This protein class is widely spread in Nature and is generally involved in biological events mediated by their interactions with carbohydrates, like intracellular protein traffic, cell-cell adhesion, leucocytes recruitment to inflammatory sites, clearance of proteins from the circulatory system, and tumor metathesis [1]. Understanding the molecular basis of carbohydrate-lectin interactions is of major importance in order to develop inhibitors of these interactions in the search of new therapies for human diseases [2].

The lectins have agglutinating property as a result of their oligomeric structures bearing multiple carbohydrate binding sites that are responsible for their multivalent interactions with carbohydrates [1a]. This property is classically exploited in the hemagglutination inhibition assay (HIA), which is employed to assess the specificity of these proteins for mono- and oligosaccharides [3].

As plant lectins like the Erythrina cristagalli lectin (ECL) are easily available in pure form and in substantial amounts, they are often used in lectin-carbohydrate interaction studies [4]. ECL is a homodimeric lectin that shows specificity for the monosaccharides D -galactose and N -acetyl- D -galactosamine [5].

In this work, the synthesis of lactose derivatives and the evaluation of their interactions with ECL, compared to that of p -galactose (1) and lactose (2), using HIA is reported.

The aim of the work was to identify synthetic monovalent ligands that could bind to the lectin with higher affinity than lactose. These could be used in the synthesis of optimized multivalent ligands. Thus, the synthesis of derivatives of 4-(methoxycarbo-

^{© 2009} Verlag Helvetica Chimica Acta AG, Zürich

nyl)phenyl β -lactoside 3 modified at position 6 (4a – 4d) and position 6' (5a – 5d) was undertaken. Lactoside 3 was chosen as starting material taking into account the ease of its preparation and the presence of a protected carboxy group that allows for the preparation of multivalent ligands with dendrimeric amines [6].

Results and Discussion. – Initially lactoside 3 was prepared from lactose (2) in four steps. Thus, peracetylation of lactose $(Ac, O/AcONa)$ followed by treatment with a solution of HBr in AcOH gave acetobromolactose. This was reacted with methyl 4 hydroxybenzoate in alkaline (Na₂CO₃) aqueous media [7] to give per-O-acetylated 4-(methoxycarbonyl)phenyl- β -lactoside, which was deacetylated with MeONa [8] to give lactoside 3 in 55% yield from lactose (Scheme 1).

The treatment of lactoside 3 with a benzaldehyde $-$ ZnCl $_2$ complex [9] gave acetal 6 in 76% yield. Regioselective replacement of the primary OH group at position 6 was achieved by reacting compound 6 with I_2 in the presence of Ph₃P and imidazole [10]. The corresponding 6-iodo-6-deoxy derivative 7 was obtained in 63% yield. Removal of the benzylidene acetal with HCl [11] furnished $\bf{8}$ in 75% yield (*Scheme 2*).

The hydrogenolysis of 8 (H₂, Pd/C) gave the 6-deoxy derivative 4a in 73%. The reaction of 8 with NaN₃ in DMF furnished the corresponding 6-azido-6-deoxy derivative 4b in 87% yield. Catalytic hydrogenation of 4b afforded crude amine 4c as an unstable, hygroscopic solid that resisted purification. Thus, it was converted, by reaction with PTSA, into the corresponding ammonium salt, which, although also hygroscopic, could be isolated in pure form in 58% yield from 4b.

Treatment of crude 4c with Ac_2O in MeOH [12] afforded the N-Ac derivative 4d, in 61% yield (Scheme 3).

Next, the synthesis of compounds $5a - 5d$ was undertaken starting with the acetal 6. Thus, treatment of 6 with BzCl in pyridine [13] furnished 9 in 84% yield. Reaction of 9 with NBS in CCl_4 afforded the expected 6'-bromo-6'-deoxy derivative 10 in 92% yield.

a) 1. Ac₂O, AcONa, 100° ; 2. HBr, AcOH; 3. Methyl 4-hydroxybenzoate, Na₂CO₃, H₂O, Me₂CO₃ 4. MeONa, MeOH.

a) PhCHO, $ZnCl_2$; b) Ph₃P, I₂, imidazole, PhMe, MeCN, reflux; c) HCl, Me₂CO, H₂O, 75°.

Crude 10 was used in the next step without further purification. Treatment of 10 with NaN₃ in DMF at 80 $^{\circ}$ afforded the corresponding azido derivative 11 along with the olefin 12, in a ratio of ca. $2:1$ (Scheme 4), according to ¹H-NMR data of the mixture.

Separation of the compounds 11 and 12 by column chromatography was difficult, leading to pure 11 in low yield (21%). Several attempts to avoid the formation of the

a) H_2 , Pd/C, 4 Å molecular sieve, THF, MeOH, AcOEt; b) NaN₃, DMF, 50°; c) H_2 , Pd/C, MeOH, THF; d) PTSA, MeOH; e) Ac₂O, MeOH.

a) BzCl, Py; b) NBS, BaCO₃, CCl₄, reflux; c) NaN₃, DMF, 80 $^{\circ}$.

olefin 12 were made. For instance, performing the reaction in the presence of crown ether did not change the products ratio. The reaction did not go to completion when carried out at lower (60°) temperature, even after a week. Adding 10% H₂O to the mixture in order to enhance the solubility of $NaN₃$ led to the preferential formation of the olefin 12 (TLC). This procedure has previously been shown to be useful in the replacement of 6 - O -Ts derivatives of D -galactose by azide [14].

The steric and polar effects due to the presence of an axial substituent at position 4 are invoked to explain, at least in part, the difficulties associated with the S_N2 reactions at position 6 in carbohydrates of the D -galacto series $[14 - 16]$ and the formation of byproducts as olefins and 3,6-anhydro derivatives [16]. In the case of compound 10, the bulky benzoyl group at $C(4')$ was assumed to hamper the nucleophilic attack of azide and favor the elimination reaction.

Thus, a new approach to compounds $5a - 5d$ was attempted. The selective removal of acetal 9 with CF₃CO₂H gave the corresponding diol 13 in 64% yield. Treatment of 13 as for the preparation of 7 (Scheme 2) furnished the crude 6'-iodo derivative 14 in 77% yield (Scheme 5), which was used in the next steps without further purification. We sought that a better leaving group (iodide) at $C(6')$ and a smaller substituent at $C(4')$ (OH) would favor the displacement reaction over elimination.

a) CF₃CO₂H, CH₂Cl₂, H₂O; b) Ph₃P, I₂, imidazole, PhMe, MeCN, reflux.

Treatment of 14 with NaN₃ in DMF, afforded two products having very close R_f values, as judged by TLC. It was thus rationalized that the two compounds could be the expected 6'-azido-6'-deoxy derivative along with the corresponding 2',4'-di-O-benzoate resulting from benzoyl migration in the course of the reaction [17]. Debenzoylation of the crude mixture with MeONa/MeOH furnished 5b in 36% yield from 13.

Catalytic hydrogenation of 5b gave the corresponding 6'-amino-6'-deoxy derivative 5c in 95% yield. Selective N-acetylation of 5c with Ac_2O in MeOH [12] afforded 5d in 70% yield from 5b.

Catalytic hydrogenation of crude 14 (H₂, Pd/C, 1.4 bar) followed by debenzoylation (MeONa/MeOH) and purification by column chromatography afforded the 6'-deoxy derivative 5a (Scheme 6) in 36% yield from 13.

 D -Galactose (1), lactose (2), lactoside 3, compounds 4a, 4b, 4c · TsOH, 4d, and 5a – 5d, were evaluated in a hemagglutination inhibitory assay using ECL. The results are summarized in the Table.

The modifications at $C(6)$ of lactoside 3 did not significantly influence the binding of compounds $4a - 4d$ to the lectin. Taking into account that in complexes of lactose

a) 1. H₂, Pd/C, 4 Å molecular sieve, AcOEt; 2. MeONa, MeOH; b) 1. NaN₃, DMF, 60° ; 2. MeONa, MeOH; c) H_2 , Pd/C, MeOH, THF; d) Ac₂O, MeOH.

Table. Inhibitory Potency of the Lactose Derivatives on the Agglutinating Activity of Erythrina cristagalli Relative to D-Galactose

Lactose derivative	Relative Inhibitory Potency
$\mathbf{1}$	
$\mathbf{2}$	4
$\overline{\mathbf{3}}$	8
4a	6
4 _b	4
$4c \cdot TsOH$	4
4d	
5a	
5b	\mathfrak{D}
5c	< 0.1
5d	< 0.1

with E. cristagalli lectin [18], the OH group at $C(6)$ is directed towards the outside of the site of interaction, it appears that the added substituents at this position were not able to make additional interactions that could be evidenced in the hemagglutination assay. On the other hand the relative potencies of compounds 5a – 5d, modified at position 6', indicate that the replacement of the 6'-OH group had a deleterious effect on the interaction of these compounds with the lectin. The loss of affinity denotes the importance of the interaction of the OH group with the binding site of the lectin through a H₂O-mediated H-bond [18] that was lost with the replacement of the OH group in compounds $5a - 5d$.

Experimental Part

General. Hydrogenation reactions that required controlled pressure of H_2 were carried out on a Parr 3911 Hydrogenation Apparatus. Column chromatography (CC): Kieselgel 60 (SiO₂; 70-230 mesh or $230 - 400$ mesh, Merck). Thin-layer chromatography (TLC): 0.25 mm thick SiO₂ 60G (Merck, 7731),

prepared on glass plates. M.p.: Microquímica MQAs 301, uncorrected. Optical rotations: ADP220 Bellinghan + Stanley Ltd. FT-IR Spectra: Spectrum One spectrometer, Perkin-Elmer, in cm⁻¹. ¹H- and ¹³C-NMR spectra: Advance DPX 200 and Advance DRX 400 spectrometers, in CDCl₃ or $(CD_3)_2SO$; δ in ppm rel. to Me₄Si, J in Hz. HR-MS Spectra: Q-TOF spectrometer, Waters, ESI-MS in positive ion mode; samples diluted in MeOH/H₂O (1:1) with 1% HCO₂H; H₃PO₄ as reference mass. E. cristagalli lectin was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich.

Methyl 4-{[4-O-(β -D-Galactopyranosyl)- β -D-glucopyranosyl]oxy}benzoate (3). Methyl 4-hydroxybenzoate (7.35 g, 48.31 mmol), Na₂CO₃ (10 g, 94.35 mmol), and H₂O (150 ml) were added to a soln. of α lactosyl bromide peracetate [19] (15 g, 21.45 mmol) in Me₂CO (100 ml). The mixture was stirred for 3 h at r.t. Then, the Me₂CO was evaporated, and the aq. residue was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (3 \times 80 ml). The combined org. layers were washed with NaOH 0.5m soln. $(4 \times 80 \text{ ml})$, dried (Na₂SO₄), and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude residue was treated with MeONa in MeOH (0.05m, 80 ml). The mixture was stirred at r.t. for 3 h, and then neutralized with *Amberlite IRA 120* (H^+). The resin was removed by filtration, and the filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was recrystallized from MeOH to afford 3 (5.63 g, 11.83 mmol; 55% yield from α -lactosyl bromide peracetate). White solid. M.p. 232.5 – 233°. [α] $_{19}^{19}$ = – 37.5 (c = 1.01; DMSO). IR (neat): 3308, 1704, 1608, 1513, 1434, 847, 767. ¹H-NMR ((CD₃)₂SO): 7.92 (d, $J = 8.9$, H-C(3"), H-C(5")); 7.14 (d, $J = 8.9$, $H-C(2''), H-C(6''))$; 5.52 (d, J = 5.3, OH); 5.11 (d, J = 7.8, H-C(1)); 5.08 (d, J = 4.5, OH); 4.81 (d, J = 1.8, OH); 4.78 (d, J = 5.3, OH); 4.66 (t, J = 5.1, OH); 4.61 (t, J = 5.9, OH); 4.51 (d, J = 4.6, OH); 4.25 $(d, J = 7.3, H - C(1'))$; 3.83 (s, MeO); 3.79 – 3.74 (m, H – C(6a) or H – C(6a')); 3.68 – 3.28 (m, 11 sugar H, partially superimposed on the signal of H_2O of the solvent). ¹³C-NMR ((CD₃)₂SO): 165.8 (C=O); 161.0 $(C(1''))$; 131.0 $(C(3''), C(5''))$; 123.0 $(C(4''))$; 116.0 $(C(2''), C(6''))$; 103.8 $(C(1'))$; 99.3 $(C(1))$; 79.9 $(C(4))$; 75.5, 75.0, 74.7 (C(2), C(3), C(5)); 73.2, 72.9 (C(3'), C(5')); 70.5 (C(2')); 68.1 (C(4')); 60.4, 60.0 (C(6), $C(6')$); 51.9 (MeO). HR-ESI-MS: 476.1614 ($C_{20}H_{28}O_{13}^+$; calc. 476.1530).

Methyl 4-[[4-O-(4,6-O-Benzylidene-β-D-galactopyranosyl)-β-D-glucopyranosyl]oxy}benzoate (6). A mixture of anh. $ZnCl₂ (3.6 g, 26.41 mmol)$ and PhCHO (2.4 ml, 58.80 mmol) was stirred until a milky, gelatinous paste was formed. The lactoside $3(6 \text{ g}, 12.59 \text{ mmol})$ and more PhCHO (6 ml) were added, and the mixture was stirred for 20 h at r.t. Excess PhCHO was removed by extraction with petroleum ether (PE; 2×15 ml). Crushed ice and AcOEt were added to the paste resulted from decantation of the org. layer to promote the separation of a white solid, which was collected by filtration and washed with boiling H2O. The acetal 6 (5.43 g, 9.61 mmol, 76% yield) thus obtained was directly used in the next steps. IR (neat): 3383, 1699, 1605, 1583, 1509, 1438, 770, 697. ¹H-NMR ((CD₃)₂SO): 7.92 (d, $J = 8.9$, H-C(3"), H – C(5'')); 7.48 – 7.34 (m, 5 arom. H); 7.15 (d, J = 8.9, H – C(2''), H – C(6'')); 5.58 (s, HCPh); 5.56 (d, J = 5.4, OH); 5.28 (d, J = 4.5, OH); 5.13 (d, J = 7.8, H-C(1)); 5.02 (d, J = 5.8, OH); 4.85 (s, OH); 4.60 (t, $J = 6.0, \text{OH}$; 4.45 $(d, J = 7.5, \text{H} - \text{C}(1'))$; 4.12 – 4.01 $(m, \text{H} - \text{C}(4'))$, $\text{H} - \text{C}(6a')$, $\text{H} - \text{C}(6b'))$; 3.82 (s, MeO) ; $3.76 - 3.50$ (*m*, 7 sugar H); $3.40 - 3.47$ (*m*, H-C(2)); $3.40 - 3.35$ (*m*, H-C(2')). ¹³C-NMR ((CD₃)₂SO): 165.8 (C=O); 161.0 (C(1'')); 138.5 (C(8')); 131.1 (C(3''), C(5'')); 128.7 (HCPh); 128.0, 126.3 (arom. C); 123.1 (C(4'')); 116.0 (C(2''), C(6'')); 103.0 (C(1')); 99.8 (HCPh); 99.4 (C(1)); 78.3 (C(4)); 75.8 (C(4')); 75.1, 74.6 (C(3) or C(3') or C(5')); 73.1 (C(2)); 71.7 (C(3) or C(3') or C(5')); 69.9 (C(2')); 68.5 (C(6')); 66.3 (C(5')); 59.8 (C(6)); 51.9 (MeO). HR-ESI-MS 564.1878 (C₂₇H₃₂O₁₃; calc. 564.1843).

Methyl 4-{[4-O-(4,6-O-Benzylidene-β-D-galactopyranosyl)-6-deoxy-6-iodo-β-D-glucopyranosyl]oxy}benzoate (7). To a stirred soln. of Ph₃P (4.48 g, 17.08 mmol) and imidazole (2.32 g, 34.08 mmol) in PhMe/MeCN 2:1 (360 ml) was added I_2 (3.84 g, 15.13 mmol). After 10 min, 6 (2.40 g, 4.25 mmol) was added dropwise, and the resulting mixture was stirred at 60° for 90 min. Then, the mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was partitioned between AcOEt and H₂O, and the aq. layer was extracted with AcOEt $(4 \times 100 \text{ ml})$. The combined org. layers were dried $(MgSO₄)$ and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude residue was purified by CC ($SiO₂$; CH₂Cl₂/MeOH 95 : 5) to afford **7** (1.82 g, 2.70 mmol, 63%). White solid. M.p. 222–223° (dec.). [a] $_0^1$ = -100.0 ($c = 1$, DMSO). IR (neat): 3403, 1687, 1604, 1583, 1507, 771, 686. ¹H-NMR ((CD₃)₂SO): 7.94 (d, $J = 8.9$, H-C(3"), H-C(5")); 7.49-7.47, 7.42-7.36 (m, 5 arom. H); 7.22 (d, $J = 8.9$, H-C(2"), H-C(6")); 5.63 (d, $J = 5.2$, OH); 5.59 (s, HCPh); 5.37 (d, J = 4.7, OH); 5.22 (d, J = 7.8, H-C(1)); 5.04 (d, J = 5.5, OH); 4.80 (d, J = 1.7, OH); 4.47 $(d, J = 7.5, H - C(1'))$; 4.12–4.02 $(m, H - C(4'))$, $H - C(6a')$, $H - C(6b'))$; 3.88 $(dd, J = 1.6$ 10.6, H – C(6a)); 3.83 (s, MeO); 3.77 – 3.72 (m, H – C(3)); 3.66 (br. s, H – C(5')); 3.59 (td, J = 1.6, 9.0,

 $H-C(5)$; 3.53–3.50 $(m, H-C(3'))$; 3.48–3.45 $(m, H-C(2'))$; 3.44–3.33 $(m, H-C(2), H-C(6b))$ $\mathrm{H-C}(4)$). ¹³C-NMR ((CD₃)₂SO): 165.7 (C=O); 160.7 (C(1'')); 138.5 (C(8')); 130.9 (C(3''), C(5'')); 128.6 (arom. C); 127.9, 126.2 (arom. C); 123.2 (C(4'')); 116.2 (C(2''), C(6'')); 103.2 (C(1')); 99.7 (HCPh); 99.1 $(C(1))$; 82.8 $(C(4))$; 75.7 $(C(4'))$; 73.6 $(C(3), C(5))$; 73.0 $(C(2))$; 71.7 $(C(3'))$; 69.9 $(C(2'))$; 68.4 $(C(6'))$; 66.3 (C(5')); 51.8 (MeO); 7.2 (C(6)). HR-ESI-MS: 674.0883 (C₂₇H₃₁IO₁₂; calc. 674.0860).

Methyl 4-{[6-Deoxy-4-O-(β -D-galactopyranosyl)-6-iodo- β -D-glucopyranosyl]oxy}benzoate (8). A mixture of 7 (1.6 g, 2.37 mmol) and HCl 1M soln. (3.2 ml) in Me₂CO/H₂O 1:1 (64 ml) was stirred at 75 \degree for 80 min and then neutralized with BaCO₃ (1 g, 5.07 mmol), which was removed by filtration. The filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was recrystallized from MeOH/H₂O 9:1 to afford 8 (1.04 g, 1.77 mmol, 75%). White solid. M.p. 216.5 – 217.5° (dec.). $[a]_D^{19} = -78.9$ ($c = 1.01$, DMSO). IR (neat): 3352, 1702, 1606, 1509, 1436. ¹H-NMR ((CD₃)₂SO): 7.85 (d, $J = 8.9$, H-C(3''), $H-C(5'')$); 7.14 (d, $J=8.9$, $H-C(2'')$, $H-C(6'')$); 5.53 (d, $J=5.2$, OH); 5.15 – 5.12 (m, $H-C(1)$, OH); 4.80 $(d, J = 1.9, \text{OH})$; 4.73 $(t, J = 5.4, \text{OH})$; 4.60 $(t, J = 5.0, \text{OH})$; 4.45 $(d, J = 4.6, \text{OH})$; 4.19 $(d, J = 7.3, \text{CO})$ $H-C(1')$); 3.77 – 3.74 (m, $H-C(6a)$); 3.75 (s, MeO); 3.62 (ddd, $J = 2.1, 7.6, 9.8, H-C(5)$); 3.56 (br. t, $J =$ 3.8, H-C(4')); 3.51 – 3.40 (m, H-C(3), H-C(5'), H-C(6a'), H-C(6b')); 3.32 – 3.21 (m, H-C(2), $H-C(6b)$, $H-C(2')$, $H-C(3')$); 3.19 (t, $J=9.0$, $H-C(4)$). ¹³C-NMR ((CD₃)₂SO): 165.7 (C=O); 160.7 $(C(1''))$; 130.9 $(C(3''), C(5''))$; 123.2 $(C(4''))$; 116.2 $(C(2''), C(6''))$; 104.0 $(C(1'))$; 99.0 $(C(1))$; 83.8 $(C(4))$; 75.6 (C(5')); 74.1 (C(3)); 73.5 (C(5)); 73.2, 72.8 (C(2) or C(3')); 70.4 (C(2')); 68.1 (C(4')); 60.4 (C(6')); 51.9 (MeO); 7.6 (C(6)). HR-ESI-MS: 586.0529 ($C_{20}H_{27}IO_{12}^+$; calc. 586.0547).

Methyl 4-{[6-Deoxy-4-O-(β -D-galactopyranosyl)- β -D-glucopyranosyl]oxy}benzoate (4a). A soln. of 8 (100 mg, 0.17 mmol) in THF/MeOH/AcOEt $10:3:2$ (9 ml) containing Pd/C 10% (200 mg), and 4-Å molecular sieves $(1.3 g)$ was stirred under H₂ (1.4 bar) for 4 d. Then, the mixture was filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was recrystallized from MeOH to afford 4a (57 mg, 0.12 mmol, 73%). White solid. M.p. $250-251^\circ$. [α] $_{10}^{24} = -32.5$ ($c = 0.51$, DMSO). IR (neat): 3359, 1706, 1245, 768. ¹H-NMR ((CD₃)₂SO): 7.94 (d, $J = 8.0$, H-C(3"), H-C(5")); 7.14 (d, $J = 8.2$, H-C(2"), $H-C(6''))$; 5.54 $(d, J=3.8, OH)$; 5.13 – 5.11 (m, 2 H – C, H – C(1), OH); 4.85 (s, OH); 4.80 (s, OH); 4.72 (s, OH) ; 4.54 (s, OH) ; 4.25 $(d, J=5.6, \text{H}-\text{C}(1'))$; 3.84 (s, MeO) ; 3.71 – 3.30 $(m, 11 \text{ sugar H}, \text{partially})$ superimposed on the signal of H₂O of the solvent); 3.12 $(t, J = 8.5, H - C(4))$; 1.30 $(d, J = 5.1, H - C(6))$. $13C-NMR$ ((CD₃)₂SO): 165.8 (C=O); 160.9 (C(1")); 131.1 (C(3"), C(5")); 123.0 (C(4")); 115.9 (C(2"), $C(6'')$); 104.2 $(C(1'))$; 98.9 $(C(1))$; 85.5 $(C(4))$; 75.4 $(C(5'))$; 74.0 $(C(3))$; 73.2, 73.0 $(C(2), C(3'))$; 70.4 $(C(2'))$; 70.2 $(C(5))$; 68.1 $(C(4'))$; 60.4 $(C(6'))$; 51.9 (MeO) ; 17.4 $(C(6))$. HR-ESI-MS: 460.1472 $(C_{20}H_{28}O_{12}^+;$ calc. 460.1581).

Methyl 4- \int [6-Azido-6-deoxy-4-O- $(\beta$ -D-galactopyranosyl]- β -D-glucopyranosyl]oxy]benzoate (4b). A mixture of $8(800 \text{ mg}, 1.36 \text{ mmol})$, $\text{NaN}_3(400 \text{ mg}, 6.15 \text{ mmol})$, and anh. DMF (30 ml) was stirred for 24 h at 50°. Then, the solvent was evaporated, and the crude residue was subjected to CC (SiO₂, 1 \times 3 cm, AcOEt/MeOH 1:1) to give a syrup which was recrystallized from MeOH to afford 4b (592 mg, 1.18 mmol, 87%). White solid. M.p. 214.5–217° (dec.). $\lbrack a \rbrack_0^1 = -92.0$ ($c = 1$, DMSO). IR (neat): 3345, 2098, 1700, 1607, 1509, 1440. ¹H-NMR ((CD₃)₂SO): 7.92 (d, J = 8.8, H-C(3''), H-C(5'')); 7.16 (d, J = 8.8, H-C(2"), H-C(6")); 5.62 (d, J = 5.3, OH); 5.23 (d, J = 7.8, H-C(1)); 5.17 (d, J = 4.6, OH); 4.91 (d, J = 1.7, OH); 4.80 (d, J = 5.2, OH); 4.67 (t, J = 5.0, OH); 4.51 (d, J = 4.6, OH); 4.19 (d, J = 7.2, $H-C(1')$); 3.93 – 3.88 $(m, H-C(5))$; 3.82 (s, MeO) ; 3.72 $(dd, J = 1.8, 13.2, H-C(6a))$; 3.63 $(br, t, J = 3.3,$ $H-C(4')$); 3.51–3.40 (m, $H-C(3)$, $H-C(6b)$, $H-C(5')$, $H-C(6a')$, $H-C(6b')$); 3.57–3.48 (m, $H-C(2)$, $H-C(4)$, $H-C(2')$, $H-C(3')$). ¹³C-NMR ((CD₃)₂SO): 165.7 (C=O); 160.6 (C(1'')); 130.9 $(C(3''), C(5''))$; 123.1 $(C(4''))$; 115.9 $(C(2''), C(6''))$; 103.9 $(C(1'))$; 98.8 $(C(1))$; 80.9 $(C(4))$; 75.5 $(C(5'))$; 74.3 (C(3)); 73.3 (C(5)); 73.1, 72.6 (C(2), C(3')); 70.3 (C(2')); 68.0 (C(4')); 60.4 (C(6')); 51.8 (MeO); 50.5 $(C(6))$. HR-ESI-MS: 501.1596 $(C_{20}H_{27}N_3O_{12}^+$; calc. 501.1595).

4-(Methoxycarbonyl)phenyl 6-Ammonio-6-deoxy-4-O-β-D-galactopyranosyl-β-D-glucopyranoside 4-Methylbenzenesulfonate (4c·TsOH). A soln. of 4b (200 mg, 0.4 mmol) in MeOH/THF 3:2 (25 ml) containing Pd/C 10% (50 mg) was stirred under a H_2 atmosphere for 5 h. Then, the mixture was filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue (184 mg, 0.39 mmol) was dissolved in hot MeOH, and to this soln. was added monohydrated PTSA (120 mg, 0.62 mmol). The ammonium salt $4c \cdot TsOH$ was precipitated by the addition of Et_2O as a hygroscopic solid (128 mg, 0.20 mmol, 58% yield from 4b). M.p. $131-133^\circ$. $\lbrack \alpha \rbrack_0^{24} = -9.9$ ($c = 0.51$, DMSO). IR (neat): 3363, 1717, 1606, 1509, 1434, 768. ¹H-NMR

 $((CD₃)₂SO):$ 7.94 $(d, J = 8.4, H - C(3''), H - C(5''))$; 7.88 $(s, 3NH)$; 7.51 $(d, J = 7.6, 2 \text{ arcm. H})$; 7.19 $(d, J = 7.6, 2 \text{ arcm. H})$ 8.4, H-C(2"), H-C(6")); 7.14 (d, J=7.6, 2 arom. H); 5.16 (d, J=7.6, H-C(1)); 4.34 (d, J=7.5, $H - C(1')$); 4.24 – 3.42 (*m*, 11 sugar H, partially superimposed on the H_2O signal from the solvent); 3.84 (*s*, MeO); 3.05 – 3.02 (m, sugar H); 2.31 (s, Me). ¹³C-NMR ((CD₃)₂SO): 165.7 (C=O); 160.9 (C(1")); 145.4 (arom. C); 138.0 (arom. C); 131.3 (C(3''), C(5'')); 128.8 (arom. C); 125.6 (arom. C); 123.4 (C(4'')); 116.2 $(C(2''), C(6''))$; 103.5 $(C(1'))$; 99.4 $(C(1))$; 80.7 $(C(4))$; 75.9 $(C(5'))$; 74.4, 73.2 $(C(3), C(5))$; 72.6, 70.7 $(C(2), C(3'))$; 70.4 $(C(2'))$; 68.3 $(C(4'))$; 60.6 $(C(6'))$; 52.1 (MeO); 39.8 $(C(6))$; 20.9 (Me). HR-ESI-MS: 475.1405 ($C_{20}H_{29}NO_{12}^+$; calc. 475.1690).

Methyl 4-{[6-(Acetylamino)-6-deoxy-4-O-(β-D-galactopyranosyl)-β-D-glucopyranosyl]oxy}benzoate (4d). A soln. of 4b (280 mg, 0.56 mmol) in MeOH/THF $3:2$ (30 ml) containing Pd/C 10% (70 mg) was stirred under a H₂ atmosphere for 5 h. Then, the mixture was filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was dissolved in anh. MeOH (5 ml). The soln. was cooled on an ice bath while $Ac₂O$ (0.14 ml, 1.48 mmol) was added. The mixture was stirred for 20 min at r.t. Then, $H₂O$ was added (0.5 ml) , and the solvent was evaporated. The crude residue was recrystallized from MeOH/H₂O 3 :1 to afford **4d** (177 mg, 0.34 mmol, 61% yield from **4b**). White solid. M.p. 233.5 – 234°. [$a|_0^{24} = -17.6$ ($c = 0.51$, DMSO). IR (neat): 3327, 1716, 1646, 1607, 1070, 678. ¹H-NMR ((CD₃)₂SO): 7.92 (d, $J = 8.9$, H $-C(3'')$, $H-C(5'')$; 7.88 (dd, J = 4.5, 6.8, NH); 7.12 (d, J = 8.9, H – C(2''), H – C(6'')); 5.55 (d, J = 5.4, OH); 5.11 $(d, J = 4.9, \text{OH})$; 5.04 $(d, J = 7.8, \text{H}-\text{C}(1))$; 4.97 $(d, J = 1.8, \text{OH})$; 4.81 $(d, J = 5.4, \text{OH})$; 4.70 $(t, J = 4.9, \text{OH})$ OH); 4.53 (d, $J = 4.7$, OH); 4.30 (d, $J = 7.4$, H-C(1')); 3.82 (s, MeO); 3.69-3.62 (m, H-C(5), $H-C(4')$); 3.57 – 3.45 $(m, H-C(6a), H-C(6a'), H-C(6b'), H-C(5')$, $H-C(3)$ or $H-C(3')$); 3.41 – 3.29 $(m, H-C(2), H-C(4), H-C(6b), H-C(2), H-C(3)$ or $H-C(3')$, superimposed on the signal of H_2O of the solvent); 1.81 (s, Me). ¹³C-NMR ((CD₃)₂SO): 169.4 (NHC=O); 165.7 (C=O); 160.8 (C(1'')); 130.9 $(C(3''), C(5''))$; 123.1 $(C(4''))$; 115.9 $(C(2''), C(6''))$; 103.6 $(C(1'))$; 99.2 $(C(1))$; 82.0 $(C(4))$; 75.6 $(C(5))$; 74.7, 73.3, 72.6, 72.5 (C(2), C(3), C(3'), C(5')); 70.5 (C(2')); 68.1 (C(4')); 60.4 (C(6')); 51.8 (MeO); 39.8 $(C(6))$; 22.5 (Me). HR-ESI-MS: 517.1813 ($C_{22}H_{31}NO_{13}^{+}$; calc. 517.1795).

Methyl $4-[/2,3,6-Tr$ i-O-benzoyl-4-O- $(2,3-di$ -O-benzoyl-4,6-O-benzylidene- β -D-galactopyranosyl)- β - α -glucopyranosyl]oxylbenzoate (9). A stirred suspension of 6 (5 g, 8.86 mmol) in anh. pyridine (70 ml) was cooled in an ice bath while BzCl (15.5 ml, 132.32 mmol) was added dropwise. The resulting mixture was stirred for 4 h at r.t. Then, H2O (60 ml) was added, and, after 30 min, the mixture was partitioned between CH₂Cl₂ and H₂O, and the aq. layer was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (2 \times 60 ml). The combined org. layers were washed with 2m aq. H_2SO_4 soln. $(5 \times 60 \text{ ml})$, sat. aq. NaHCO₃ soln. $(4 \times$ 60 ml), and H₂O (2×60 ml). The org. phase was dried (Na₂SO₄) and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude residue was recrystallized from $Me₂CO/PE 1:1$ to afford 9 (8.05 g, 7.42 mmol, 84%). White solid. M.p. 263.5 – 265° . [α] $_{12}^{22}$ = +35.9 (c = 1, CHCl₃). IR (neat): 1716, 1263, 1241, 705. ¹H-NMR $(CDCl₃)$: 8.15 (d, J = 7.2, 2 arom. H); 7.96 – 7.89 (m, 6 arom. H); 7.81 (d, J = 7.2, 2 arom. H); 7.77 (d, J = 8.8, H-C(3"), H-C(5")); 7.56–7.25 (*m*, 18 arom. H); 7.19 (*t*, $J = 7.6$, 2 arom. H); 6.90 (*d*, $J = 8.8$, $H-C(2'')$, $H-C(6'')$); 5.92 (t, $J = 7.8$, $H-C(3)$); 5.82 (dd, $J = 8.0$, 10.4, $H-C(2')$); 5.62 (t, $J = 7.8$, $H - C(2)$); 5.39 (d, J = 7.8, H – C(1)); 5.31 (s, HCPh); 5.23 (dd, J = 3.5, 10.4, H – C(3')); 4.89 (d, J = 8.0, $H-C(1')$); 4.67 (dd, J = 1.4, 11.7, H-C(6a)); 4.39–4.31 (m, H-C(4, H-C(6b), H-C(4')); 4.12–4.09 $(m, H-C(5))$; 3.83 (s, MeO); 3.80 (d, J = 12.3, H-C(6a')); 3.61 (d, J = 12.3, H-C(6b')); 3.05 (s, $H-C(5')$). ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃): 166.3-164.8 (6 C=O); 160.0 (C(1'')); 137.4-124.7 (arom. C); 116.2 $(C(2''), C(6''))$; 101.7 $(C(1'))$; 100.6 $(HCPh)$; 97.9 $(C(1))$; 77.2 $(C(4))$; 74.0 $(C(3))$; 73.1, 73.0 $(C(5), C(4'))$; 72.5 (C(3')); 71.9 (C(2)); 69.4 (C(2')); 68.0 (C(6')); 66.6 (C(5')); 62.3 (C(6)); 51.9 (MeO). HR-ESI-MS: 1084.3020 ($C_{62}H_{52}O_{18}^{+}$; calc. 1084.3154).

Methyl 4-{[4-O-(6-Azido-2,3,4-tri-O-benzoyl-6-deoxy-b-d-galactopyranosyl)-2,3,6-tri-O-benzoyl-b-D-glucopyranosyl]oxy}benzoate (11) and Methyl 4-{[2,3,6-Tri-O-benzoyl-4-O-(2,3,4-tri-O-benzoyl-6 $deoxy-a-L-arabino-hex-5-enopy ranosyl)-\beta-D-glucopy ranosyl/oxylbenzoate (12)$. To a refluxing mixture of 9 (2 g, 1.84 mmol), BaCO₃ (760 mg, 3.86 mmol), and CCl₄ (60 ml), with stirring, NBS (660 mg, 3.62 mmol) was added in portions. After 5 h, the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The residue was suspended in CH₂Cl₂, and the BaCO₃ was eliminated by filtration. The filtrate was washed with H₂O (3 \times 20 ml), and the org. phase was dried (Na₂SO₄) and concentrated *in vacuo* to afford *methyl* 4-{[2,3,6-tri-O-benzoyl-4-O-(2,3,4-tri-O-benzoyl-6-bromo-6-deoxy-b-d-galactopyranosyl)-b-d-glucopyranosylloxylbenzoate (10) as a syrup which was directly used in the next step. To a soln, of crude 10 in anh. DMF (30 ml) NaN₃ (700 mg, 10.77 mmol) was added. The mixture was stirred for 17 h at 80°. Then, the solvent was eliminated, and the residue was purified by CC ($SiO₂$; CHCl₃) to give 11 (441 mg, 0.39 mmol, 21% yield from 9) and 12 (210 mg, 0.19 mmol, 10%).

Data of 11: M.p. 104.5 – 106.5°. [α] $_{12}^{22}$ = +33.1 (c = 1, CHCl₃). IR (neat): 2104, 1722, 1259, 1089, 1066, 1025. ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃,): 7.99 – 7.93 (*m*, 10 arom. H); 7.84 (*d*, *J* = 8.8, H – C(3"), H – C(5")); 7.73 (*d*, *J* = 7.5, 2 arom. H); 7.67 – 7.60 (m, 2 arom. H); 7.54 – 7.47 (m, 5 arom. H); 7.42 – 7.29 (m, 7 arom. H); 7.25 – 7.17 $(m, 4 \text{ arom. H}); 6.95 (d, J = 8.8, H - C(2''), H - C(6''))$; 5.86 $(t, J = 9.4, H - C(3))$; 5.76 – 5.71 $(m, H - C(2))$ $H-C(2'))$; 5.61 (d, J = 2.9, H – C(4')); 5.38 – 5.34 (m, H – C(1), H – C(3')); 4.89 (d, J = 7.8, H – C(1')); 4.66 $(d, J = 11.9, H - C(6a))$; 4.48 $(dd, J = 4.8, 11.9, H - C(6b))$; 4.34 $(t, J = 9.4, H - C(4))$; 4.07 $(dd, J = 4.8, 9.4$ $H-C(5)$; 3.85 (s, MeO); 3.69 (t, $H-C(5')$); 2.73 (dd, $J=5.3$, 12.9, $H-C(6a')$); 2.64 (dd, $J=7.2$, 12.9, $H-C(6b')$). ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃): 166.2–164.6 (6 C=O); 159.9 (C(1'')); 133.5–116.1 (arom. C); 100.6 $(C(1'))$; 98.2 $(C(1))$; 75.5 $(C(4'))$; 73.1 $(C(5))$; 72.8 $(C(5'))$; 72.5 $(C(3))$; 71.5 $(C(3'))$; 71.2, 69.6 $(C(2))$, $C(2')$); 67.9 (C(4)); 62.2 (C(6)); 51.7 (MeO); 49.2 (C(6')). HR-ESI-MS: 1125.3054 (C₆₂H₅₁N₃O_{Is}'; calc. 1125.3168).

Data of 12: M.p. 191–192°. $[\alpha]_{\text{D}}^{22} = +33.9$ (c=1, CHCl₃). IR (neat): 1718, 1267, 703. ¹H-NMR $(CDCl₃)$: 7.99 – 7.92 $(m, 8 \text{ arcm. H})$; 7.83 $(d, J = 7.9, 5 \text{ arcm. H})$; 7.62 – 7.56 $(m, 2 \text{ arcm. H})$; 7.52 – 7.25 (m, J) 15 arom. H); 7.11 $(t, J = 7.7, 2 \text{ arom. H})$; 6.95 $(d, J = 8.6, H - C(2''), H - C(6''))$; 5.90 – 5.85 $(m, H - C(3))$. $H-C(2'), H-C(4'))$; 5.72 (t, J = 7.9, $H-C(2))$; 5.43 (dd, J = 3.2, 9.7, $H-C(3'))$; 5.38 (d, J = 7.9, $H-C(1))$) 5.01 $(d, J = 6.7, H - C(1'))$; 4.65 $(d, J = 12.0, H - C(6a))$; 4.63 $(s, H - C(6a'))$; 4.58 $(s, H - C(6b'))$; 4.49 $(dd,$ $J=5.2, 12.0, H-C(6b))$; 4.35 (t, $J=9.2, H-C(4))$; 4.08 (dd, $J=5.2, 9.2, H-C(5))$; 3.85 (s, MeO). $13C-NMR$ (CDCl₃): 165.6 – 164.9 (6 C=O); 160.0 (C(1")); 149.2 – 124.9 (arom. C); 116.3 (C(2"), C(6")); 102.9 (C(6')); 101.7 (C(1')); 98.4 (C(1)); 76.8 (C(4)); 73.3 (C(5)); 73.0 (C(3), C(2') or C(4')); 71.5 (C(2)); 70.2 (C(3')); 69.9, 68.8 (C(3), C(2') or C(4')); 62.5 (C(6)); 51.9 (MeO). HR-ESI-MS: 1082.2991 $(C_{62}H_{50}O_{18}^+; 1082.2997).$

Methyl 4-{[2,3,6-Tri-O-benzoyl-4-O-(2,3-di-O-benzoyl-β-D-galactopyranosyl)-β-D-glucopyranosyl] $oxy/benzoate$ (13). To a soln. of 9 (8 g, 7.37 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (50 ml) were added CF₃CO₂H (8 ml, 108.05 mmol) and H2O (0.4 ml). The mixture was stirred vigorously for 10 h at r.t. Then, it was diluted with CH₂Cl₂ (60 ml) and washed with H₂O (2 \times 40 ml), sat. aq. NaHCO₃ soln. (2 \times 40 ml), and again with H₂O (3×30 ml). The org. phase was dried (Na₂SO₄) and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by CC (SiO₂; CH₂Cl₂/MeOH 95:5) to afford **13** (4.7 g, 4.71 mmol, 64%). White solid. M. p. 237–238°. $[a]_D^{23}$ = +34.9 (c = 1, DMSO). IR (neat): 1717, 1265, 704. ¹H-NMR ((CD₃)₂SO): 7.96 – 7.90 (m, 4 arom. H); 7.86 – 7.81 (m, 6 arom. H); 7.71 – 7.36 (m, 15 arom. H); 7.30 (t, $J = 7.5$, 2 arom. H); 7.01 $(d, J = 8.8, H - C(2''), H - C(6''))$; 5.91 $(d, J = 7.9, H - C(1))$; 5.84 – 5.79 $(m, H - C(3))$; 5.51 – 5.45 $(m, H-C(2), H-C(2'))$; 5.22 $(d, J = 5.4, HO-C(4'))$; 5.18 $(dd, J = 3.0, 10.4, H-C(3'))$; 5.02 $(d, J = 8.0, 10.4)$ $H-C(1')$); 4.57 – 4.47 (m, $H-C(6a)$, $H-C(6b)$); 4.48 (t, $J = 5.0$, $HO-C(6')$); 4.38 – 4.34 (m, $H-C(4)$) $H-C(5)$); 4.06 (br. t, $H-C(4')$); 3.78 (s, MeO); 3.55 (br. t, $H-C(5')$); 3.09–3.06 (m, $H-C(6a')$); 2.95 (td, $J = 5.0, 10.0, H - C(6b'))$. ¹³C-NMR ((CD₃)₂SO): 165.6 – 165.6 (6 C=O); 159.6 (C(1")); 133.8 – 133.3 (arom. C); 130.9 (C(3"), C(5")); 129.4 – 123.7 (arom. C); 115.9 (C(2"), C(6")); 100.5 (C(1')); 96.0 (C(1)); 76.0 (C(4)); 74.8 (C(3')); 74.6 (C(5')); 72.9 (C(3)); 72.6 (C(5)); 71.7, 70.2 (C(2), C(2')); 64.6 (C(4')); 62.9 $(C(6))$; 58.2 $(C(6))$; 51.9 (MeO). HR-ESI-MS: 996.2760 ($C_{55}H_{48}O_{18}^{+}$; calc. 996.2840).

Methyl 4-{[2,3,6-Tri-O-benzoyl-4-O-(2,3-di-O-benzoyl-6-deoxy-6-iodo-b-d-galactopyranosyl)-b-dglucopyranosylloxylbenzoate (14). To a stirred soln. of Ph₃P (3.2 g, 12.2 mmol) and 1H-imidazole $(1.66 \text{ g}, 24.44 \text{ mmol})$ in PhMe/MeCN 2:1 $(380 \text{ ml}), I_2 (2.5 \text{ g}, 12.21 \text{ mmol})$ was added. After 10 min, 13 (4.8 g, 4.81 mmol) was added dropwise, and the resulting mixture was stirred at 60° for 9 h. Then, the mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was partitioned between CH₂Cl₂ and H₂O, and the aq. layer was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (2×150 ml). The combined org. layers were dried (Na_2SO_4) and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude residue was washed with Et_O to afford 14 (4.03 g, 3.71 mmol, 77% crude yield) that was used in subsequent reactions without further purification. ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃): 8.08 (d, J = 7.0, 2 arom. H); 7.95 – 7.87 (m, arom. H); 7.81 (d, J = 8.8, $H-C(3'')$, $H-C(5'')$); 7.64 – 7.20 (*m*, arom. H); 6.93 (*d, J* = 8.8, H – C(2"), H – C(6")); 5.89 (*t, J* = 8.8, $H-C(3)$); 5.76–5.66 (m, $H-C(2)$, $H-C(2')$); 5.41 (d, J=7.4, H-C(1)); 5.15 (dd, J=3.1, 10.3, $H-C(3')$; 4.82 (d, J = 7.8, H – C(1')); 4.68 (br. d, J = 11.0, H – C(6a)); 4.47 – 4.26 (m, H – C(4), H – C(4'), $H-C(6b)$; 4.15 (m, $H-C(5)$); 3.84 (s, MeO); 3.56 (t, $J=6.8$, $H-C(5')$); 2.88 (dd, $J=6.8$, 10.1,

 $H-C(6a'))$; 2.58 (dd, J = 6.8, 10.1, $H-C(6b')$); 2.42 (d, J = 7.0, HO - C(4')). ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃): 133.5 – 128.4 (arom. C); 116.2 (C(2''), C(6'')); 100.6 (C(1')); 98.1 (C(1)); 75.7 (C(4)); 74.1 (C(3)); 73.2, 73.1, 71.6, 69.4, 67.6 (C(2), C(5), C(2'), C(3'), C(4'), C(5')); 62.4 (C(6)); 51.9 (MeO); 0.5 (C(6')).

Methyl 4- β - β - α - β -D-galactopyranosyl)- β -D-glucopyranosyl]oxylbenzoate (5a). A soln. of crude 14 (772 mg, 0.77 mmol) in AcOEt (35 ml) containing Pd/C 10% (1.64 g), and 4-Å molecular sieves $(5.4 g)$ was stirred under a H₂ atmosphere (1.4 bar) for 8 d. The mixture was filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was subjected to the same reaction conditions for others 2 d. After filtration and concentration under reduced pressure, the residue was treated with MeONa in MeOH. (0.05m, 80 ml). The mixture was stirred at r.t. for 5 h, and then neutralized with *Amberlite IRA 120* (H^+). The resin was removed by filtration, and the filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by CC (SiO₂; CH₂Cl₂/MeOH 88:12) to afford $5a(160 mg, 0.35 mmol, 37%$ yield from **13**). White solid. M.p. 230 – 232°. $[\alpha]_D^{24} = +10.0$ ($c = 0.50$, MeOH). IR (neat): 3393, 1704, 1607, 1509, 1436, 769. ¹H-NMR ((CD₃)₂SO): 7.93 (d, J = 8.6, H-C(3"), H-C(5")); 7.15 (d, J = 8.6, H-C(2"), $H-C(6''))$; 5.58 (d, J = 5.2, OH); 5.12 – 5.10 (m, H – C(1), OH); 4.80 (s, OH); 4.77 (s, OH); 4.66 (t, J = 5.5, OH); 4.62 (d, J = 4.6, OH); 4.25 (m, H – C(1')); 3.83 (s, MeO); 3.78 – 3.74 (m, sugar H); 3.68 – 3.61 $(m, 3 \text{ sugar H})$; 3.60 – 3.40 $(m, 5 \text{ sugar H}, \text{superimposed on the signal of H}₂O of the solvent)$; 3.38 – 3.34 $(m, 3 \text{ sugar H}); 1.17 (d, J = 6.2, H - C(6'))$. ¹³C-NMR ((CD₃)₂SO): 166.0 (C=O); 161.1 (C(1'')); 131.2 $(C(3''), C(5''))$; 123.2 $(C(4''))$; 116.1 $(C(2''), C(6''))$; 103.5 $(C(1'))$; 99.4 $(C(1))$; 79.7 $(C(4))$; 75.1, 74.7, 73.4, 73.0, 71.0, 70.6, 70.2 (7 C); 59.9 (C(6)); 52.0 (MeO); 16.4 (C(6')). HR-ESI-MS: 460.1730 (C₂₀H₂₈O₁₂; calc. 460.1581).

Methyl 4- $[14$ -O-(6-Azido-6-deoxy- β -D-galactopyranosyl)- β -D-glucopyranosyl]oxylbenzoate (5b). A mixture of crude 14 (3.7 g, 3.7 mmol), NaN₃ (1.17 mg, 18 mmol), and anh. DMF (40 ml) was stirred for 20 h at 60° . Then, the solvent was eliminated, and the crude residue was treated with MeONa in MeOH (0.05m, 120 ml). The mixture was stirred at r.t. for 3 h and then neutralized with Amberlite IRA 120 (H⁺). The resin was removed by filtration, and the filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was subjected to CC (SiO₂; CH₂Cl₂/MeOH 85 : 15) to afford **5b** (897 mg, 1.79 mmol, 36% yield from **13**). White solid. M.p. $112-115^{\circ}$. [α] $_{10}^{24} = -22.3$ ($c = 0.94$, MeOH). IR (neat): 3376, 2105, 1704, 1306, 1506, 1436, 770. ¹H-NMR (CD₃OD): 8.01 (d, J = 8.8, H-C(3"), H-C(5")); 7.19 (d, J = 8.8, H-C(2"), $H-C(6'$)); 5.12 (d, J = 7.7, H - C(1)); 4.47 (d, H - C(1')); 3.97 – 3.90 (m, H - C(6a), H - C(6b)); 3.91 (s, MeO); 3.81 – 3.53 (m, 10 sugar H). ¹³C-NMR (CD₃OD): 168.4 (C=O); 162.8 (C(1'')); 132.5 (C(3''), $C(5'')$); 125.2 ($C(4'')$); 117.3 ($C(2'')$, $C(6'')$); 105.0 ($C(1')$); 101.4 ($C(1)$); 80.2 ($C(4)$); 76.7, 76.2, 74.9, 74.6, 74.5, 72.3 (C(2), C(3), C(5), C(3'), C(4'), C(5')); 70.5 (C(2')); 61.7 (C(6)); 52.5 (C(6')); 52.4 (MeO). HR-ESI-MS: 501.1596 ($C_{20}H_{27}N_3O_{12}^+$; calc. 501.1595).

Methyl 4-{ $[4-O-(6-Amino-6-deoxy-β-D-galactopyranosyl]-β-D-glucopyranosyl/oxylbenzoate (5c). A$ soln. of $5b$ (130 mg, 0.26 mmol) in anh. MeOH (15 ml) containing Pd/C 10% (32 mg) was stirred under a $H₂$ atmosphere for 3 h. The mixture was filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure to give $5c$ (118 mg, 0.10 mmol, 95%). White solid. M.p. 123 – 127.5°. [α] $^{23}_{15}$ = -21.1 (c = 0.80, MeOH). IR (neat): 3359, 1737, 1716, 1605, 1609, 1435, 769. ¹H-NMR ((CD₃)₂SO): 7.91 (d, J = 8.6, H – C(3''), H – C(5'')); 7.13 $(d, J = 8.6, H - C(2''), H - C(6''))$; 5.11 $(d, J = 7.6, H - C(1))$; 4.24 $(d, J = 6.6, H - C(1'))$; 3.81 (s, MeO); 3.72 – 3.17 (m, 11 sugar H, partially superimposed on the signal of H₂O of the solvent); 2.72 (d, $J = 5.6$, 2 NH). 13C-NMR ((CD3)2SO): 132.0 (C(3''), C(5'')); 116.9 (C(2''), C(6'')); 104.4 (C(1')); 100.2 (C(1)); 80.0 (C(4)); 77.0, 76.0, 74.3, 73.9, 71.4, (C(2), C(3), C(5), C(3'), C(4'), C(5')); 69.6 (C(2')); 60.7 (C(6)); 52.8 (MeO); 42.9 (C(6')). HR-ESI-MS: 475.1660 (C₂₀H₂₉NO⁺₁₂; calc. 475.1690).

Methyl 4-({4-O-[6-(Acetylamino)-6-deoxy-β-D-galactopyranosyl]-β-D-glucopyranosyl]oxy)benzoate (5d). A soln. of 5b (200 mg, 0.4 mmol) in anh. MeOH (5 ml) containing Pd/C 10% (50 mg) was stirred under a H₂ atmosphere for 2 h. Then, the mixture was cooled in an ice bath while Ac₂O (0.1 ml, 0.99 mmol) was added. The mixture was stirred for 20 min at r.t., H_2O was added (0.5 ml), the catalyst removed by filtration, and the filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was recrystallized from EtOH to afford 5d (143 mg, 0.28 mmol, 70% yield from 5b). White solid. M.p. 211 – 211.5° . [α] $_{13}^{23}$ = -6.0 (c = 1, MeOH). IR (neat): 3600, 3396, 1738, 1693, 1629, 772. ¹H-NMR ((CD₃)₂SO): 7.93 $(d, J = 8.4, H - C(3''), H - C(5''))$; 7.86 (br. s, NH)); 7.15 $(d, J = 8.4, H - C(2''), H - C(6''))$; 5.56 (d, J) $J = 5.0, \text{OH}$; 5.14 – 5.10 $(m, \text{H}-\text{C}(1), \text{OH})$; 4.81 $(d, J = 4.4, \text{OH})$; 4.69 $(t, J = 5.5, \text{OH})$; 4.66 – 4.63 (m, M) OH(2); 4.27 (d, $J = 6.6$, H $-C(1')$); 3.84 (s, MeO); 3.80 – 3.76 (m, H $-C(6a)$); 3.69 – 3.29 (m, 10 sugar H,

partially superimposed on the signal of H_2O of the solvent); 3.21 – 3.17 $(m, H-C(6b'))$; 1.86 (s, Me) . $13C-NMR$ ((CD₃)₂SO): 169.9 (NHC=O): 165.8 (C=O); 160.9 (C(1'')); 131.0 (C(3''), C(5'')); 123.0 $(C(4''))$; 116.0 $(C(2''), C(6''))$; 103.3 $(C(1'))$; 99.3 $(C(1))$; 79.1 $(C(4))$; 75.0, 74.3, 73.0, 73.0, 72.8 $(C(2),$ $C(3)$, $C(5)$, $C(3')$, $C(5')$; 70.4 $(C(2'))$; 68.4 $(C(4'))$; 59.8 $(C(6))$; 51.9 (MeO); 39.3 $(C(6'))$; 22.5 (Me). HR-ESI-MS: 517.1813 ($C_{22}H_{31}NO_{12}^+$; calc. 517.1795).

Hemagglutination Inhibition Assay. The experiments were performed as described earlier [3d]. In short, 50 μ of human type O erythrocytes (4% hematocrit) were mixed with 50 μ of a ECL soln., preincubated with 50 μ of the serially diluted compounds 1, 2, 3, 4a, 4b, 4c \cdot TsOH, 4d, and 5a – 5d, and incubated at r.t. for 1 h. The lowest concentrations giving complete inhibition of the hemagglutination were recorded and expressed by their inhibitory potency relative to D -galactose (Table). ECL and carbohydrates solns. were prepared using a saline containing Ca^{2+} and Mn^{2+} (0.15m NaCl, 5·10⁻³ m CaCl₂, and $5 \cdot 10^{-3}$ M MnCl₂).

REFERENCES

- [1] a) H. Lis, N. Sharon, Chem. Rev. 1998, 98, 637; b) R. Loris, Biochim. Biophys. Acta 2002, 1572, 198; c) C. L. Nilsson, Anal. Chem. 2003, 75, 348A.
- [2] H. Rüdiger, H.-C. Siebert, D. Solis, J. Jimenez-Barbero, A. Romero, C.-W. Lieth, T. Diaz-Maurino, H.-J. Gabius, Curr. Med. Chem. 2000, 28, 389; Z. Shriver, S. Raguram, R. Sasisekharan, Nat. Rev. Drug Discov. 2004, 3, 863; N. Sharon, Biochim. Biophys. Acta 2006, 1760, 527.
- [3] a) W. Galbraith, I. J. Goldstein, Biochemistry 1972, 11, 3976; b) D. K. Hsu, R. I. Zuberi, F. T. Liu, J. Biol. Chem. 1992, 267, 14167; c) J. J. Lundquist, E. J. Toone, Chem. Rev. 2002, 102, 555; d) T. Hatakeyama, K. Shiba, N. Matsuo, T. Fujimoto, T. Oda, H. Sugawara, H. Aoyagi, J. Biochem. 2004, 135, 101; e) B. Singha, M. Adhya, B. P. Chatterjee, Carbohydr. Res. 2007, 342, 1034.
- [4] K. Lycknert, M. Edblad, A. Imberty, G. Widmalm, Biochemistry 2004, 43, 9647; E. Duverger, N. Frison, A.-C. Roche, M. Monsigny, Biochimie 2003, 85, 167; J. B. Corbell, J. J. Lundquist, E. J. Toone, Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 2000, 11, 95.
- [5] J. L. Iglesias, H. Lis, N. Sharon, Eur. J. Biochem. 1982, 123, 247.
- [6] M. L. Wolfenden, M. J. Cloninger, Bioconjugate Chem. 2006, 17, 958; J. A. F. Joosten, V. Loimaranta, C. C. M. Appeldoorn, S. Haataja, F. A. El Maate, R. M. J. Liskamp, J. Finne, R. J. Pieters, J. Med. Chem. 2004, 47, 6499; D. Zanini, R. Roy, J. Org. Chem. 1996, 61, 7348.
- B. Helferich, R. Griebel, Liebigs Ann. Chem. 1940, 544, 191.
- [8] E. M. Montgomery, N. K. Richtmyer, C. S. Hudson, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1943, 65, 1848.
- [9] D. M. Hall, Carbohydr. Res. 1980, 86, 158.
- [10] P. J. Garegg, B. Samuelsson, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1980, 2866; Z. Zhang, G. Magnusson, J. Org. Chem. 1996, 61, 2383.
- [11] D. J. Bell, J. Lorber, *J. Chem. Soc.* **1940**, *12*, 453.
- [12] K. Onodera, S. Kitaoka, J. Org. Chem. 1960, 25, 1322.
- [13] U. Westerlind, P. Hagback, B. Tidbäck, L. Wiik, O. Blixt, N. Razi, T. Norberg, Carbohydr. Res. 2005, 340, 221.
- [14] S.-C. Li, X.-B. Meng, M.-S. Cai, Z.-J. Li, Synth. Commun. 2006, 36, 637.
- [15] A. C. Richardson, *Carbohydr. Res.* **1969**, 10, 395.
- [16] Z. Dinev, C. T. Gannon, C. Egan, J. A. Watt, M. J. McConville, S. J. Williams, Org. Biomol. Chem. 2007, 5, 952.
- [17] H. C. Hansen, G. Magnusson, Carbohydr. Res. 1999, 322, 166.
- [18] C. Svensson, S. Teneberg, C. L. Nilsson, A. Kjellberg, F. P. Schwarz, N. Sharon, U. Krengel, J. Mol. Biol. 2002, 321, 69; K. Turton, R. Natesh, N. Thiyagarajan, J. A. Chaddock, K. R. Acharya, Glycobiology 2004, 14, 923.
- [19] C. S. Hudson, A. Kunz, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1925, 47, 2052.

Received June 18, 2008